McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Darren Azman Joseph B. Evans One Vanderbilt Avenue New York, New York 10017-3852 Telephone: (212) 547-5400 Facsimile: (212) 547-5444

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*) 333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500 Miami, FL 33131-2184 Telephone: (305) 329-4473

Facsimile: (305) 503-8805

Counsel to the Plan Administrator

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*) Grayson Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*) 2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900

Dallas, Texas 75201-1664 Telephone: (214) 295-8000 Facsimile: (972) 232-3098

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:)	Chapter 11
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,)	Case No. 22-10943 (MEW)
Debtors. ¹)	(Jointly Administered)
	_)	

DECLARATION OF MARK A. RENZI IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM

- I, Mark A. Renzi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 1. I submit this declaration in support of *The Plan Administrator's Second Omnibus Objection to Certain Filed Proofs of Claim* [Docket No. 1588] (the "Objection").² I am a

The Wind-Down Debtor in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Wind-Down Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. (7687); Voyager Digital Ltd. (7224); and Voyager Digital, LLC (8013). The service address for purposes of these chapter 11 cases is 27777 Franklin, Suite 2500, Southfield, MI 48034.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

Managing Director at Berkeley Research Group, LLC ("BRG") and have served as financial advisor to Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. and its Debtor affiliates since June 30, 2022, and subsequently, the Plan Administrator.

- 2. The statements in this declaration are, except where specifically noted, based on my personal knowledge or opinion, on information that I have received from the Wind-Down Debtor's employees or advisors, or employees of BRG working directly with me or under my supervision, direction, or control, or from the Wind-Down Debtor's Books and Records maintained in the ordinary course of their business. If I were called upon to testify, I could, and would, competently testify to the facts set forth herein on that basis. I am authorized to submit this declaration on behalf of the Wind-Down Debtor.
- 3. In evaluating the Disputed Claims, the Wind-Down Debtor, with the assistance of BRG and other advisors, thoroughly reviewed their Books and Records and the relevant Proofs of Claims, as well as the supporting documentation provided by each Claimant, if any, and have determined that each Disputed Claim should be reduced and allowed, reclassified, or disallowed, as applicable, as set forth in the Objection. As such, I believe that the reduction and allowance, reclassification, or disallowance, as applicable, of the Disputed Claims on the terms set forth in the Objection, are consistent with the treatment set forth for each Disputed Claim on Schedule 1 Schedule 2, and Schedule 3.

I. The Incorrect Debtor Claims

4. After reviewing the Books and Records and the relevant Disputed Claims, the Plan Administrator, with the assistance of his advisors, has determined that the Disputed Claims listed on Schedule 1 (the "Incorrect Debtor Claims") do not accurately reflect which Debtor entity may be liable for the underlying claim. Accordingly, the Debtor entity against which the

Incorrect Debtor Claims are asserted should be modified from the Debtor identified in the column titled "Asserted" to the Debtor identified in the column titled "Corrected" as provided in Schedule 1. The "Corrected" column is consistent with the Books and Records and/or with the information provided by the Claimants. It is my belief that the failure to modify the Incorrect Debtor Claims could result in the Claimants receiving either (i) a better recovery than other similarly situated creditors, even though such recovery is not warranted, or (ii) receiving a lesser recovery than they are otherwise entitled. I believe that the Incorrect Debtor Claims should be modified so as to be filed against the "Corrected" Debtor as is consistent with the Books and Records and/or with the information provided by the Claimants.

II. The Superseded Claims

5. After reviewing the Books and Records and the relevant Disputed Claims, the Plan Administrator, with the assistance of his advisors, has determined that the Disputed Claims listed on Schedule 2 (the "Superseded Claims") have been amended and superseded by another proof of claim filed against the same Debtor, on account of a single liability. It is my understanding the Claimant intended that the later-filed proof of claim amend and supersede the earlier filed proof(s) of claim, and because the Wind-Down Debtor may be held liable for this single liability at most once, the Superseded Claims must be disallowed and expunged. I, therefore, believe that the Superseded Claims should be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.

III. The USD Claim

6. After reviewing the Books and Records and the relevant Disputed Claims, the Plan Administrator, with the assistance of his advisors, has determined that the Disputed Claim listed on Schedule 3 (the "<u>USD Claim</u>") was asserted in U.S. Dollar amounts that do not match

the Books and Records and, accordingly, asserts amounts that are not actual liabilities of the Wind-Down Debtor. I have been informed that the Debtors' customized proof of claim form listed all cryptocurrency available on the Debtors' platform and allowed each account holder to list the amount of cryptocurrency held by the account holder. I understand that the relevant Claimant either ignored or misunderstood the instructions on the proof of claim form and may have converted their claim to U.S. Dollars as of an unspecified conversion date. The USD Claim does not match the Books and Records because the Debtors did not hold cash on behalf of account holders. I believe that the Court should enter the Proposed Order modifying the USD Claim to reflect the cryptocurrency balances that are reflected in the Books and Records.

IV. The No Liability Claim

- 7. After reviewing the Books and Records and the relevant Disputed Claims, the Plan Administrator, with the assistance of his advisors, has determined that the Disputed Claim listed on Schedule 4 (the "No Liability Claim") asserts an amount that cannot be reconciled with the Books and Records. On January 17, 2023, the Texas Attorney General's Office filed a customer proof of claim (the "Texas Claim"). It is my understanding that the Texas Claim asserts an amount owed of \$1 in U.S. dollars. Further, the Texas Claim asserts contingent, unliquidated claims that are not supported by the Books and Records. I have been informed and it is my understanding that the Texas Attorney General's Office did not have an active, existing account with the Debtors as of the Petition Date. Accordingly, the No Liability Claim asserts amounts that are not actual liabilities of the Debtors. Therefore, I believe that the Court should enter the Proposed Order disallowing and expunging the No Liability Claim in its entirety.
- 8. I understand that failure to reduce and allow or disallow the Disputed Claims, as applicable, on the merits could result in certain Claimants receiving an unwarranted recovery

22-10943-mew Doc 1589 Filed 09/22/23 Entered 09/22/23 22:19:38 Main Document Pg 5 of 5

against the Debtors to the detriment of other creditors. Therefore, I believe the Disputed Claims should be reduced and allowed, or disallowed, as applicable, to reflect the scheduled amounts as requested in the Objection.

Dated: September 22, 2023 /s/ Mark A. Renzi

New York, New York
Mark A. Renzi
Managing Director

Berkeley Research Group LLC